Date and Version: 3/24/2023 Version 1 Page 1 of 4
Handbook Section: Academic Due Process

Handbook Section: Proposed Change:

Source of Bill: Governance Committee

FACULTY SENATE

SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY

FACULTY SENATE BILL 23-A-XX

Approved by the Faculty Senate XXXXXX

BRIEF SUMMARY: This bill revises the "Academic Due Process" section of Chapter 2 in the Faculty Handbook to reflect that the Board of Governors has designated this duty to the university president.

REVISING PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC DUE PROCESS

BE IT RESOLVED THAT: Subject to the passage and approval of this bill, the section on "Academic Due Process" in Chapter 2 of the Faculty Handbook will be replaced by this bill.

TITLE OF BILL (Academic Due Process)

- 1 Academic Due Process
- 2 This statement deals with procedural standards and guides to be followed when the
- 3 fitness of either a tenured faculty member or a non-tenured faculty member, whose
- 4 term of appointment has not expired, is questioned. While it is necessary that certain
- 5 legal requirements be followed, the spirit and intent of establishing simple rules for
- 6 the protection of all parties that may be involved remain as the primary objective here.
- 7 These rules are designed to promote a sense of fair play and recognition of the mutual
- 8 rights, as well as obligations, of the parties.
- 9 Should the fitness of a faculty member be seriously questioned, it is the initial
- 10 responsibility of the department involved to deal with the issue. The chairperson is
- responsible for convening the department, which will then elect a review committee
- which is representative of the department faculty. This committee will study and make
- 13 recommendations to the department chairperson on questions concerning ethical
- conduct and satisfactory performance of professional responsibilities.
- Ouestions involving possible breach of ethics, failure to meet professional
- responsibilities, and the like may be initiated at any level, either administrative or
- faculty, and should be referred first to the department chairperson. The department
- chairperson, after discussing the matter with the individual faculty member involved,

Date and Version: 3/24/2023 Version 1 Page 2 of 4

Handbook Section: Academic Due Process

Proposed Change:

Source of Bill: Governance Committee

will refer the issue to the review committee if in their opinion there is substance to the

- 2 charges. Following the findings of the committee, the department chairperson will
- 3 submit a written report with their recommendation to the faculty member in question
- 4 and to the dean of the college for appropriate action.
- 5 If no agreement is reached and there remains a dispute, formal proceedings may be
- 6 invoked by the administration. Faculty members whose fitness is in controversy shall
- 7 be continued in their positions until removed by action of the Board of Governors
- 8 university president. Should such continuance constitute a clear and present danger,
- 9 either to the University, the students, the public, or to themselves, the faculty member
- may be suspended by the President or the Provost until final action is taken by the
- Board of Governors president. The faculty member's pay shall be continued unless
- they are suspended or removed by the Board of Governors president.
- Formal proceedings are those which are brought to the attention of the Board of
- 14 Governors president to inquire into the fitness of a faculty member. Such proceedings
- are initiated by written charges, which must involve the incompetency of faculty
- members, or their neglect or refusal to perform their duties, or their dishonesty,
- 17 drunkenness, or immoral conducts.
- 18 The written charges must also give notice that a hearing to consider the charges will
- be held before the Board of Governors president on a particular day and at a
- certain time and place, that faculty members may be present with or without counsel,
- and that they may produce witnesses or other evidence on their behalf at the hearing.
- 22 The notice and charge must be handed to faculty members personally, or it may be
- 23 sent to them by registered mail at their most recent address as listed in the University
- 24 Directory or other known location. If delivered personally, an affidavit of service must
- be presented to the Board of Governors president by the person who performed the
- service. If service is had by mail, a return receipt properly signed by faculty members
- or their agent for service shall be presented to the Board of Governors president. The
- affidavit or return receipt must reveal that the faculty member received the charge and
- 29 notice at least ten (10) days before the hearing is conducted before the Board of
- 30 Governors president. Should faculty members not be present for service or should
- registered mail notice not be perfected, a hearing shall not be had until after thirty (30)
- days service. Should faculty members or their attorney request additional time in
- which to prepare their defense or to seek counsel, the **Board of Governors** president

Date and Version: 3/24/2023 Version 1 Page 3 of 4

Handbook Section: Academic Due Process

Proposed Change:

Source of Bill: Governance Committee

1 may grant such additional time and continue or postpone the hearing to another day

- 2 and time.
- 3 The President of the Board of Governors president shall conduct the hearing at the
- 4 time and place called for in the notice or at the postponed time if additional time is
- 5 requested. Such hearings shall not be public, and either party may ask that all
- 6 witnesses not be present while any person is testifying. The President of the Board of
- 7 Governors-president shall administer an oath or affirmation to all persons who may
- 8 give evidence.
- 9 The formal legal rules of evidence need not be followed, and the President of the
- 10 Board of Governors president shall determine what evidence may or may not be
- presented. The proper University administrative official or attorney shall present the
- case against the faculty member, and such member or their attorney may have the
- right to cross examine any witnesses testifying against them.
- 14 Faculty members may produce witnesses on their behalf, who may be cross examined.
- 15 They may also produce any other evidence which they may deem favorable to their
- 16 positions.
- 17 At any time during the proceedings, any member of the Board of Governors-the
- president may question any witness or call for a point of order of procedure to be
- 19 clarified.
- 20 After the testimony has been adduced and each side concluded its evidence, the Board
- of Governors president shall retire and deliberate on the charges brought against the
- 22 faculty member.
- 23 The Board of Governors president may determine that the charges are not properly
- founded and, if so, shall so declare. If the Board president decides that the charges
- 25 have merit, faculty members may be disciplined by the **Board**-president, but no faculty
- 26 members shall be removed except for incompetence, neglect or refusal to perform
- their duties, dishonesty, drunkenness, or immoral conduct. The findings and
- conclusions of the Board of Governors president shall be in writing and delivered to
- 29 faculty members or their attorney.
- In the event the Board of Governors president desires, it may appoint a committee of
- five faculty members to investigate any complaint concerning a member of the
- 32 faculty. It is assumed that when any complaint has to do with the competency of a

Date and Version: 3/24/2023 Version 1 Page 4 of 4

Handbook Section: Academic Due Process

Proposed Change:

Source of Bill: Governance Committee

faculty member, the **Board of Governors** president shall appoint the faculty committee

- 2 to investigate the same. Such committee may hold a hearing concerning any charges
- 3 lodged against the faculty member and shall follow the same procedure set out for the
- 4 Board of Governors president when a hearing is conducted before that body. Such
- 5 committee when appointed, after making an investigation and conducting a hearing,
- shall recommend to the Board of Governors president either that the complaint is or is
- 7 not well-founded, and if the finding is that the complaint is well-founded, shall
- 8 recommend to the Board president disciplinary action, including the possible
- 9 suspension or expulsion of the faculty member. Upon the receipt of the committee
- recommendation, the **Board**-president shall make the final decision on the complaint.
- In any such case, the faculty member shall have the right of appeal from the
- recommendation of the faculty committee. Should such appeal be taken, the procedure
- before the Board of Governors president outlined above shall be followed.
- 14 Approved by Faculty Senate, bill 75-A-01; Approved by Board of Regents November
- 15 1997

16 17 ***

Action	Date
Introduced to Senate	03/29/2023
Second Senate Meeting	
Faculty Senate Vote	
President's Review	
Board of Regents Approval	
D / 1/ E 1/ II 11 1	

Posted to Faculty Handbook