Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 1 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

## **FACULTY SENATE**

## SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY

## **FACULTY SENATE BILL 24-A-XX**

Approved by the Faculty Senate XXXXXX

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** This bill revises the procedure for the Grievance section of Chapter 2 of the *Faculty Handbook*.

## REVISING "GRIEVANCE" PROCEDURE SECTION

**WHEREAS:** Per the advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell, and subject to the passage and approval of both this bill and its companion bill, 24-A-XX "Revising Grievance Policy," the Grievance Policy in Chapter 2 of the *Faculty Handbook* will be revised.

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:** Existing sections of Chapter 2 be revised to reflect these changes.

# Informal Dispute Resolution Procedure Grievance Process

The iInformal Ggrievance pProcess (or "Informal Process") is initiated by the grievant Grievant without the submission of a Faculty—Senate Grievance Form, which formalizes the grievance process. Individuals are strongly encouraged to follow the iInformal gGrievance Pprocess, although it-doing so is not required. The Iinformal pProcess is designed to facilitate a timely resolution at the lowest level possible and with minimal paperworkquickly and efficiently with minimal administrative burden. The first meeting is initiated in writing to inform those who will participate in the Iinformal resolution Process and to document the beginning date of the process but is not to be part of any personnel file.

- 1. Faculty members, or "grievantGrievants," who believe they have a grievance concerning the application by an administrator/administrative body of a specific policy or procedure should first discuss the matter informally with their department chair, who will serve as a facilitator in order to and attempt to develop a satisfactory resolution. (Exceptions to this practice are set forth in item 8, below.) The faculty member should initiate this informal discussion by making a written, dated request for a meeting with the chair. The request should also identify the specific policies and procedures in question, and briefly describe the nature of the action(s) being grieved. Email is an appropriate method for initiating the informal discussion and for any other notification that must be in writing.
- 2. During the meeting with the chair, the faculty member should: (1) indicate-state that the grievance is at the informal discussion stage, (2) explain the action(s) giving rise to the grievance; (3) explain how the faculty member believes the specific policies

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 2 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

 Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

- and procedures in question have been violated; and (4) indicate describe how the faculty member believes the issue(s) should be resolved.
- 3. The informal meeting(s) may also include the department chair, the grievantGrievant, and the party against whom the grievance is directed, which may be an individual, multiple individuals, or an administrative body ("Respondent"). The meeting(s) of the chairdepartment chair may meet with the grievantGrievant and the individual/administrative body (or administrative committee or body) against whom the grievance is directed Respondent may also be conducted separately if it-doing so would be helpful in facilitating resolution of the issue(s). The objective of the informal discussion process is to see if the issues can be resolved at the informal meeting stage without the faculty member having to initiate the Fformal gGrievance rResolution procedureProcess.
- 4. Any resolution(s) and/or decisions arrived at during the informal discussion stage should be communicated verbally orally by the department chair to each participant within ten (10) business day from the date of the last informal discussion meeting. If the chair's statement of resolution(s) and/or decision(s) are deemed acceptable by all parties, the matter will be considered closed. If a resolution is reached, any submitted documentation will be returned to the grievant originating party.
- 5. <u>If no satisfactory resolution is reached through the informal discussion process, the chair should so indicate to each participant</u>, within ten (10) business days of the last informal discussion meeting. The chair should also record the date and that the grievance was not resolved but should not include details of the informal grievance in any official file.
- 4.6.If the resolution has been made clear to all parties, but the grievantGrievant is not satisfied, or if no resolution was reached, the grievantGrievant may initiate a the fFormal gGrievance Resolution Process as outlined below. If no satisfactory resolution is reached through the informal discussion process, the chair should so indicate to each participant. The chair should also record the date and that the grievance was not resolved but should not include details of the informal grievance in any official file. The faculty member may then elect to file a formal written grievance by following the process outlined below.
- **5.7**. Department chairs may not propose or approve any resolution that is inconsistent with University policies, procedures, or practices.
- 6.8. The informal discussion should be held with the party's immediate supervisor or facilitated by the next level of supervision above the Grievant or person/administrative body against whom the action is initiatedRespondent. For example, if the grievance is initiated by or against a department chair, the informal discussion should be held with the grievantGrievant's college dean, following the same process outlined above.

## Formal Dispute Resolution Procedure Grievance Process

The Fformal Ggrievance procedure Process includes the submission of a Faculty Senate Grievance Form and, interviews with administrative personnel at successive levels, and

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 3 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

79

80

81

82 83

848586

87

88

89

90

91

92

93 94

95

96 97

98 99

100

101

102103

104

105

106

107108

109110

111

112

113

114

115116

117118

119

120

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

respective opportunities to resolve the grievance. It may also include a review and hearing by the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee, with a recommendation to the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, a letter to the Provost from the Faculty Senate Executive Grievance Committee which addresses theincluding the Grievance Committee's recommendation, and a review and response by the Provost. The Faculty Grievance Form is located on the MySemo portal under Faculty Resources (my.semo.edu/pages/faculty-resources).

#### STEP I: Formal Written Grievance

- a. If an acceptable resolution is not reached informally, or if a Grievant chooses not to use the Informal Grievance Process, faculty members may pursue their grievance by submitting a formal written grievance to their department chair or other administrator, as appropriate. -If the Informal Grievance Process has been used, the Faculty Grievance formal grievance fForm must be submitted within ten (10) business days of the conclusion of the informal process Informal Process. If the Informal Grievance Process has not been used, the Faculty Grievance Form must be submitted within twenty (20) business days of the alleged misapplication of the policy or procedure. The formal written grievance form should (a) indicate include the name and contact information for the Grievant; (b) the name of the Respondent; (c) the date of the alleged violation; (d) the name of the policy or operating procedure at issue; (e) a description of what occurred; (f) how the Grievant was adversely affected; (g) how the Grievant thinks the problem should be resolved; (h) whether the informal discussion process Informal Resolution Process has occurred was attempted and, if so, why it was unsuccessful, that the grievance has not been satisfactorily resolved, that the grievance is proceeding to the formal procedure; (b) describe the issue(s) and action(s) encompassed by the grievance and, if appropriate, state the reasons why the informal process did not satisfactorily resolve the problem in the faculty member's view; (c) explain how the faculty member believes the specific University policies or procedures at issue have been improperly applied; and (d) indicate how the faculty member believes the problem(s) should be resolved.. Copies of these materials the form should also be provided to the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s) by the appropriate administrator.
- b. The department chair (or other administrator, as appropriate) will investigate/review the matter, which may include meeting with the parties involved, and will provide a written response to the faculty member and the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s) within ten (10) business days of receiving the formal written grievance. The chair's response will be deemed acceptable by the grievantGrievant and the matter will be considered closed unless the grievantGrievant initiates a Step II grievance with the dean of the college within ten (10) business days of receiving the chair's response.
- c. The department chair (or other administrator, as appropriate) may not propose or approve any resolution that is inconsistent with University policies, procedures, or practices.

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 4 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

d. If the grievance is by or against a department chair, Step I of the formal process should be submitted to and conducted by the chair's college dean, following the same process outlined above.

# STEP II: Review of Step I Grievance Response

- a. If the grievantGrievant is not satisfied with the response provided at Step I of the formal grievance process, the grievantGrievanty may appeal the decision by submitting a written appeal to the appropriate college dean (or a Vice Provost if the grievantGrievant is a college dean or other administrator designated by the Provost, if appropriate) within ten (10) business days of receiving the Step I response. This appeal must include eopies-a copy of the original formal grievanceFaculty Grievance Form, the department chair's or college dean's administrator's response at Step I, a statement by the grievantGrievant specifying why they believe the Step I decision is incorrect or unacceptable, and a proposed resolution. Copies of these materials should also be provided to the Step I responder and the individual(s) or parties against whom the grievance is directed all the parties involved in the grievance.
- b. The appropriate college dean/Vice Provostdean or other administrator will meet with the grievantGrievant and conduct an investigation/review of the grievance appeal. Within ten (10) business days of receipt of the appeal, the appropriate college dean/Vice Provost or other administrator will provide a written response to the grievantGrievant, with copies a copy to the Step I responder and the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s). The dean or other administrator's response will be deemed acceptable by the Grievant and the matter will be considered closed unless the Grievant requests a hearing with the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee within ten (10) business days of receiving the dean or other administrator's response.

## STEP III: Request for a Hearing with the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee

- a. If the decision at Step II of the grievance procedure is not satisfactory to the faculty membergrievantGrievant, the faculty membergrievantGrievant may request a review and a hearing before the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee. Any such request for review shall be filed with the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee within ten (10) business days after the grievantGrievant has received the Step II response. If the response is sent by email, it is deemed received twenty-four (24) hours after the time it was properly sent.
- b. The request for review shall include (a) a copy of the original formal grievanceFaculty Grievance Form, (b) copies of the written response to the grievance provided at Step I and Step II,; (c) copies of the appeal filed at Step II;, (d) an explanation of why the grievantGrievant believes the Step II decision is unsatisfactory or unacceptable;; and (e) a proposed resolution. The grievantGrievantfaculty member shall simultaneously provide copies of these

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 5 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

- materials to the Step I and II responders and to the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s).
- c. Within five (5) business days of the request for review, the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee shall notify the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s) in writing that the request has been filed by the faculty member.
- e.d. Within twenty (20) business days of the request for review, the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee chair shall notify the faculty member grievantGrievant and the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s) in writing whether the committee believes a hearing is warranted and if so, the notice should include the date, time, and location of the hearing. The parties must be given at least twenty (20) business days written notice of the hearing date. The hearing date may be rescheduled by the parties only upon a showing of good cause as determined by the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee. If the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee decides that a hearing is not warranted, the matter is closed.
- dee. The Faculty Senate Grievance Committee chair shall also instruct the parties to identify the witness, if any, that they may wish to present and the general subject matter of each witness's anticipated testimony. This information should be provided to the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee chair and to the other party or parties no later than ten (10) business days before the hearing date. The Faculty Senate Grievance Committee chair has the authority to limit the number of witnesses if it is determined that the proposed witnesses will present repetitive, unnecessarily cumulative, or irrelevant evidence. The parties shall be responsible for ensuring that their witnesses are present for the hearing.
- e.f. The hearing is not a formal legal proceeding and formal rules of evidence shall not apply. The committee Faculty Grievance Committee shall, however, have the authority to reject or curtail evidence that is repetitive, that unnecessarily protracts the proceedings, and/or has no relevance to the grievance. The proceedings will be recorded by a professional transcriptionist and transcribed.
- f.—The hearing will be a closed proceeding, with only the committee members, the parties, and the witnesses (who will be present only during their testimony) present. The grievantGrievant and the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s) may, however, each be accompanied by an observer. The observer may consult with and assist the grievantGrievant but may not conduct any portion of the hearing. The observer may not be acting in the capacity of an attorney; no may be represented by an attorney at the hearing.

g. The Faculty Senate Grievance Committee shall make a written recommendation to the Provost for review within ten (10) business days of the conclusion of the hearing and receipt of the transcribed proceedings. The recommendation will be sent the same day to the grievant, the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directed, and the Faculty Senate Executive Committee. The Faculty Senate Executive committee shall withing ten (10) business day review the recommendations of the

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 6 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

Faculty Senate Grievance Committee and a statement of the Faculty Senate Executive Committee's support or nonsupport of those recommendations. The Faculty Senate Grievance Committee's recommendation and the Faculty Senate Executive Committee's response will be forwarded by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee Committee simultaneously to the Provost, the grievant Grievant, and the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directed Respondent, and the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee. The Provost will be provided with the materials regarding the grievance.

# STEP IV: Review of the Faculty Senate Executive Senate Grievance Committee Recommendation by the Provost

The Provost will conduct whatever review they deems necessary, and will make a recommendation. The Provostand will provide a written response within ten (10) business days of receiving the recommendation from the Faculty Senate Senate ExecutiveGrievance Committee. This written response will be sent simultaneously to the grievantGrievant, the individual(s) against whom the grievance is directedRespondent(s), the appropriate department chair and dean, the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee, and the President. The Provost's decision is final. If the grievance is against the Provost, then Step IV the process is conducted by the President or the President's designee. Documentation materials will also be sent to the Faculty Senate Chair (see Step III.G.).

# Report to the Faculty Senate

Following resolution of the grievance, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee may report issues to the Faculty Senate when it believes a grievance has raised an issue of broader relevance to the faculty. The report shall not include names or identifying information and may be reserved for a semester-end or year-end summary of the state of all grievances brought against the University in the previous semester or year.

# General Provisions

- 1. The Grievance Process is not intended to replace or diminish the role of department chairs, deans, and other academic administrators to supervise faculty activities, make personnel decisions, or evaluate faculty performance. The administrative decision in dispute shall be upheld unless a preponderance of the evidence gathered during the grievance process supports a finding that there has been a violation of policy, procedure, or established practice. The decisionmaker must decide whether, in a given instance, the University's policies and procedures (1) were followed in reaching the challenged decision; (2) were applied uniformly and consistently; and (3) that in applying them, adequate consideration was given to all available and relevant information.
- 4.2.In any situation where a Respondent would normally be involved in the resolution process, the Respondent will not play a role in the process other than that of respondent, and the administrator's supervisor, or another administrator as determined appropriate, will play the appropriate role in the resolution process.

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 7 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

Faculty members in Kent Library will file grievances with their dean. If a grievance is filed against the dean, the Provost will determine an appropriate administrator to facilitate the grievance process.

## 2.3. Failure to Meet Timelines

- 1. A faculty member's failure to submit a grievance or appeal within the time frames set forth in the grievance procedure will end the faculty member's ability to pursue the matter and the grievance shall be deemed resolved based on the University's last action report.
- 2. For purposes of the time frames set forth herein, "business day" will be defined for timeliness purposes as any weekday, Monday through Friday, when regular Fall, Spring, or Summer semester classes are in session and campus offices are open. University break times will not be counted.
- 3. In the event the appropriate administrator or committee fails to make a timely response as herein stipulated, the faculty member may proceed to the next step in the grievance process.

## 3.4. Extensions of Timelines

- 1. The timelines at each level may be extended by the parties involved and the appropriate administrator or committee chair.
- 2.1. For good cause shown, including, but not limited to, sick leaves, funeral leaves, University-related business travel, unavoidable absences from campus or other unavailability of participants, the appropriate administrator or committee chair may grant a request for extension by either any party. Any extensions granted should be as brief as possible and practicable under the circumstances.
- 3.2. At any level, if the appropriate administrator or committee chair deems the complexity of the grievance such that the response deadline is unrealistic, up to an additional ten (10) calendar days may be added to the response deadline by the administrator or committee chair, who shall be responsible for providing notice and justification of the extension to the parties within the originally designated time frame.
- **4.3**. Notice of any change in timelines or scheduling must be provided in writing to all participants by the appropriate administrator or committee chair. For purposes of the Step III hearing, the respective parties are responsible for notifying their proposed witnesses.

# **4.5.** Joint Proceedings

If more than one faculty member grieves the same action, they the faculty members may, by mutual agreement between themselves and the Provost, pursue their grievances jointly under these procedures. The group may, by mutual agreement, elect one or more of their number to act on behalf of the group throughout the grievance procedure. In such circumstances, the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee reviewing the grievance will conduct a joint hearing, which all members of the group may attend.

## 5.6.Confidentiality

Date and Version: 2/16/2024 Page 8 of 8

Handbook Section: Chapter 2

292

293

294

295

296297

298299

300

301

302303

304 305

306

307308

309

310

311312313

Proposed Change: Revising procedures per advice from the legal team at Husch Blackwell

Source of Bill: Faculty Senate Governance Committee

The grievance procedures shall be conducted with the highest level of sensitivity to the privacy of all concerned. Members of the Faculty Senate Grievance Committee, the Faculty Senate Executive CommitteeChair, the Provost, the grievantGrievant(s), respondents, colleagues, witnesses, and all other concerned are expected to treat as highly confidential the oral and documentary evidence presented and the deliberations occurring at all stages of the processing of the grievance, except as necessary for the preparation of a grievance or grievance response, or consistent with the notice requirements set forth herein, and/or as otherwise may be required by law. Similarly, except as otherwise provided herein or as authorized by the Provost or the chair of the Faculty Senate, or as may be required by law, the decisions and responses at each level shall be treated as confidential by all participants and by all members of the University community.

# 6.7. Reprisal or Retaliation

No faculty members shall be subjected to disciplinary action or retaliation because they have initiated or participated in good faith in the processing of a grievance.

# 7.8. Storage of Materials Related to the Grievance

Supporting documents, files, transcription, or any other media shall be stored in a locked electronic or paper file cabinet in the Faculty Senate Office of Human Resources for a period of seven (7) years, after which time they will be destroyed.

Faculty Senate bill 07-A-04, Approved by the Board of Regents 12/14/07

Action	Date
Introduced to Senate	2/28/2024
Second Senate Meeting	
Faculty Senate Vote	
President's Review	
15 Day Review	

Posted to Faculty Handbook