Page 1 of 6 Date and Version: 11/16/22 Version 3

Department Chairs Handbook Section:

35

Edits to Handbook Language for Clarity and Conciseness Professional Affairs/ Governance Committees Proposed Change:

Source of Bill:

1		SOUTHEAST MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY	
2 3	FACULT	FACULTY SENATE BILL 23-A-XX	
5			
6 7 8 9	BRIEF SUMMARY: Edits to condense and clarify the policy section of the Faculty Handboo Chapter 1, Department Chairs.		
10 11 12 13	ACTION OF BILL: REVISING POI CHAIRS".	LICY SECTION: CHAPTER 1 "DEPARTMENT	
13	BE IT RESOLVED: subject to the pa establishing a corresponding "procedu	ssage and approval of both this bill and its companion bill res" section, Chapter 1, Department Chairs section of the lacing the existing content with the following "policy" to follow it in the <i>Handbook</i>):	
14		F BILL (Department Chairs)	
15 16	Chapter 1, Department Chairs		
17	7 Policy Faculty Senate Bill xxxx begins he	re.	
18 19 20	THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF DEPARTMENT CHAIRPERSONS The Responsibilities of Department Chairpersons		
21 22	1 The role of the department chairperson is	recognized as a primary leadership position in the University. trative officer and representative of the academic discipline, the	
23 24	*	of exemplifying the highest standards in both faculty and g standards of the discipline, and meeting the expectations of	
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ce between these responsibilities requires careful attention by	
26	the department chairperson, departmental	colleagues, and administrative officers. It suggests the need for	
27	* · ·	e utilized by the chairperson while performing within	
28		straints, and limitations imposed by the availability of resources	
29			
30	1 1	of for leadership which provides educational purpose and	
31		son is the faculty member of the department authorized to speak nks the department to the office of the dean of the college and	
32 33	and the control of th	e offices. The department chairperson must not only represent	
34		ty members and the department to other members of the	

administration, but also must present accurately and fairly to colleagues in the department the positions of

Date and Version: 11/16/22 Version 3 Page 2 of 6

Handbook Section: Department Chairs

Proposed Change: Edits to Handbook Language for Clarity and Conciseness

Source of Bill: Professional Affairs/ Governance Committees

36 other administrators while interpreting the established policies of the University.

The chairperson cultivates and retains the respect of colleagues to provide effective leadership in the department. A successful chairperson leads the department through consistency, openness, candor, decisiveness, and fair and equitable treatment of all department members. As the departmental administrative leader, the chairperson is expected to evaluate issues with a broad point of view, analyze questions, and perceive consequences of decisions with clarity and accuracy. Regular consultation and open communication should be used in weighing and deciding questions before the department. Whether consultation is by private counsel, committee recommendation, or other means, the department chairperson assumes responsibility for those decisions assigned to the department by University policies and procedures.

 From a faculty perspective, the department chairperson is a colleague who acts on behalf of members of the department in the administration of departmental activities. Administratively, the chairperson carries out duties assigned to the office. This is accomplished with the advice and judgment of the faculty when making recommendations concerning such matters as curriculum development, budgetary requests, and faculty recruitment, hiring, promotion, tenure, and termination. The chairperson is both a member of the department and a liaison between the department and the rest of the University. As a member of the department, the chairperson provides leadership in the common pursuit of departmental goals. As a departmental liaison, the chairperson represents the best interests of the department to the college and University administration.

While considerable diversity exists in the operation of the various academic departments, there is a common core of responsibilities assumed by the department chairperson. The leadership of the chairperson, however, cannot be viewed in isolation, for members of the department assume broad responsibilities and share accountability for departmental programs, operation, and personnel actions. The general responsibilities of the department chairperson are grouped under the following major categories: Administrative Functions, Faculty-Personnel Functions, Liaison Functions, Student-Related Functions, Leadership Functions, and Operational Functions. A detailed list of these functions is given in the Procedure section.

 The chairperson is the departmental administrative officer with faculty and administrative responsibilities. The balance between these responsibilities suggests the need for considerable latitude in the leadership style utilized by the chairperson.

The chairperson is authorized to speak for and on behalf of the department and links the department to the office of the college dean and other appropriate university administrative offices. The department chairperson represents the interests of the faculty and the department to the administration and presents the administration's position to the department.

Date and Version: 11/16/22 Version 3 Page 3 of 6

Handbook Section: Department Chairs

Proposed Change: Edits to Handbook Language for Clarity and Conciseness

Source of Bill: Professional Affairs/ Governance Committees

The chairperson should be able to evaluate issues with a broad point of view and perceive the consequences of decisions. The department chairperson assumes responsibility for those decisions assigned to the department by university policies and procedures. The department chairperson considers advice and judgment from department faculty when making recommendations, especially those regarding curriculum development. A representative list of responsibilities is given in the procedure section.

THE REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT CHAIRPERSONS

As a department chairperson, a faculty member assumes broad leadership roles that have specific functions and raise certain expectations. The review of a chairperson represents the ultimate in the collegial process, for it encourages faculty participation in departmental governance and effectively balances administrative decision-making responsibility. While the review of a department chairperson systematically focuses primarily on one person, the assessment of one's performance cannot be separated from the responsibilities assumed by all colleagues in the department. Similarly, administratively assigned tasks must be kept in their proper context. Colleagues in the department share in the responsibility for maintaining this perspective, as do deans, in making their recommendations to the Provost.

The assessment of a department chairperson is made possible through both formal and informal review mechanisms and periodic and extraordinary review cycles. Each of these is designed to provide input to the department chairperson in assessing individual performance and for suggesting areas that might be strengthened.

The Evaluation of Department Chairpersons

The evaluation of a chairperson is a collegial process encouraging faculty participation in departmental governance and provides balance to administrative decision-making responsibilities. Evaluations of a department chairperson consider the many factors impacting the performance of a department and the faculty and programs within the department.

The assessment of a department chairperson involves both formal and informal evaluation mechanisms and periodic and extraordinary evaluations. Evaluations constructively assess individual performance and suggest areas for improvement. During any evaluation process, the department chairperson retains the right to resign the position. The resignation of the department chairperson will stop any evaluation process.

Formal and Informal Reviews Evaluations

Throughout the academic year, departmental colleagues and administrators share a responsibility informally to provide insights to the department chairperson that may improve his/her overall leadership effectiveness. Annually, the dean will meet with the department chairperson for the purpose of discussing administrative performance. A written summary of this meeting will be shared with the individual chairperson and the Provost.

Departmental colleagues and administrators informally provide insights to the department chairperson to improve overall leadership effectiveness. The dean meets with the department chairperson

Date and Version: 11/16/22 Version 3 Page 4 of 6

Handbook Section: Department Chairs

Proposed Change: Edits to Handbook Language for Clarity and Conciseness

Source of Bill: Professional Affairs/ Governance Committees

annually to discuss administrative performance. A written summary of this meeting is shared with the chairperson and the provost.

Periodic Department Chairperson Review Evaluation

A newly appointed department chairperson will be reviewed during the third year of service concurrent
with his/her appointment at the University. At the beginning of the third year, the dean will inform the
Provost and call the department together for the purpose of initiating a review, discussing the process, and
agreeing on the specific procedures to be followed. All departments will follow the general procedures
along with mutually determined approaches at the department and college levels. The continuing
appointment as chairperson will be subject to this review. The normal periodic review for individuals
continued will be within a three- to five-year cycle as recommended by the dean and approved by the

122 Provost.

123124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134135

136137

138

114

In addition to an annual merit review, (see the procedures section for chairperson annual review procedure) a department chairperson is evaluated during the third year after the initial appointment. By August 1 of the review year, the dean will inform the chairperson and provost, and initiate a department evaluation by discussing the process and agreeing on the specific procedures to be followed. This evaluation will occur the following semester, but not within the first four weeks or last four weeks. Continuing appointment as chairperson is subject to this evaluation. After the initial evaluation, periodic evaluations will continue on a three-year cycle unless an extraordinary review is initiated (See the procedures section for extraordinary review procedures).

Extraordinary Department Chairperson Review

An extraordinary review of the department chairperson may be initiated at any time by a majority vote of the members of the department in accordance with departmental procedures. The respective college dean or the Provost may also initiate a review at any time. Upon receipt of a request for extraordinary review, the appropriate college dean will call the department together as described in the periodic review cycle. The general procedures and instrument described in this document will be followed. Special attention may be focused on the timing of the review and areas of special concern resulting in the extraordinary request.

139140141

142143

144

The Review Periodic Evaluation Process

The entire review process will be conducted within the context of the responsibilities of the department and its individual members and the functions of the department chairperson. Emphasis will be placed on the nature and focus of the review, along with the following guidelines. Throughout this entire process, the department chairperson retains his/her right to resign the position.

145146147

148

149

Guidelines for the Review Periodic Evaluation of Department Chairperson. The review of a department chairperson requires cooperation and mutual discussion. It is important that professional integrity and respect be maintained by all parties involved. The following guidelines are provided for

Date and Version: 11/16/22 Version 3 Page 5 of 6

Handbook Section: Department Chairs

152

153

156

159

162

173174

175

176

181

182

183

184

185 186

187 188

189

190

Proposed Change: Edits to Handbook Language for Clarity and Conciseness

Source of Bill: Professional Affairs/ Governance Committees

those involved in the review process to ensure proper focus so the overall effort will not be counterproductive to the stated purpose:

- 1. Adequate time should be provided throughout the process so individuals can effectively participate.
- 2. Individual full-time faculty and staff responses should be secured are collected by the dean before any written documents are shared.
- 157 3. Input from faculty members, staff, students, other chairpersons, and administrators should be properly balanced.
- 4. The constructive nature of the review should remain foremost. The evaluation should remain
 constructive.
- 5. Informal dialogue should be fostered on a continuing basis.
- 6. 5. Full departmental involvement should be stressed.
- 7. 6. The need to provide anonymity should be balanced with the need to fulfill professional responsibility.
- 8. Opportunity 7. Opportunities for chairperson and faculty self-assessment should be encouraged.
- 9. 8. Flexibility in the process should be maintained so departmental differences can be addressed.
- 169 10. The time frames near the beginning and ending of semesters should be avoided for the purposes of the
 170 review.
- 9. Chairpersons may will provide a Record of Service summary and curriculum vitae to faculty members to highlight document recent activities.

THE SELECTION OF A NEW CHAIRPERSON

- The Selection of a New Chairperson
- 1. When the chairpersonship vacancy occurs, the Ddepartment, Ddean and Pprovost will consult, and the
 Pprovost will determines whether the search process should be is internal or national. Internal or external
 candidates are allowed in national search. may apply to an external search.
 - 2. The dean of the college will arranges a meeting of all full-time faculty members in the department. At this meeting, two decisions are made: first, a representative and diverse selection of departmental members of the search committee are selected, and second, the method for choosing a chairperson of the search committee is determined. Neither candidates for the position nor any faculty for whom there is a conflict of interest shall serve on the search committee. At least one search committee member must be a current chairperson at the university. After the search committee is established, an additional faculty member from any other department in the University may be added to the search committee. Both the dean of the college and the search committee should agree on who will serve in this capacity. The additional faculty member operates as a full member of the committee.

Page 6 of 6 Date and Version: 11/16/22 Version 3

Handbook Section: Department Chairs

Edits to Handbook Language for Clarity and Conciseness Professional Affairs/ Governance Committees Proposed Change:

Source of Bill:

191 192 Approved by Faculty Senate 11/20/13, reviewed by President 4/14/15, Approved by Board of Regents 193 5/8/15

Action	Date
Introduced to Senate	09/14/2022
Second Senate Meeting	09/28/2022
Faculty Senate Vote	
President's Review	
Board of Regents Approval	
D / 1/ E 1/ II 11 1	

Posted to Faculty Handbook